

from Karen Bennett <kbennett@ceunh.unh.edu>  
to "Weber, Steven" <Steven.Weber@wildlife.nh.gov>  
cc kferrare@ceunh.unh.edu  
date Thu, Apr 8, 2010 at 3:05 PM  
subject Re: Good Forestry  
mailed-by ceunh.unh.edu

Steve,

Thank you for your comments. This will be included as part of the public feedback on the second draft.

Karen

At 11:24 AM 4/8/2010, you wrote:

Hi Karen,

Thanks for taking the time to come up to Campton last night. A couple comments on the Good Forestry document. First, congratulations on the effort to date, your really going the extra mile to get as close to a consensus document as possible. The other comment is sort of in response to concerns about the the Vernal Pool section, but is more general. I know you have done a lot to shorten the piece, which obviously has some trade-offs. I'm concerned that we don't continue to whittle away at the content though. We particularly should not be reducing the scope of the recommendations to reduce the number of acres potentially effected. If the science supports making recommendations out to 900 feet for vernal pools, we should recommend practices out to 900. As you well know, these are voluntary practices, and if it ever got down to a disagreement in response to management on easement lands that reference the document, the good judgement of the individuals involved, as discussed in the introductory section of the manual would come into play. Thanks Karen.

Steve

*Steven J. Weber*  
*Chief of Wildlife*  
*NH Fish and Game*  
*11 Hazen Dr.*  
*Concord, NH 03301*  
*603-271-1439*  
[sweber@nh.gov](mailto:sweber@nh.gov)